Topic: Modeling the molecular interactions



- Without PES no chemistry and no molecules:
 - every 'Molecule' would be different (its energy would not only depend on its geometry but also on its history.
- The Born-Oppenheimer approximation states that a PES exists.
- But: one PES for each electronic state.
- The PES allows a eine topographical interpretation of reactions and conversions of molecules.
- Next slide

To remember: Relations







Modeling the molecular interactions - general

- The potential energy in an N-particle system V = f(r) must be expressed in some tractable way since it needs to be known and it needs to be calculable.
- It can either be calculated quantum mechanically or be derived from a mathematical expression ('energy formula') that includes some physics.

Modeling the molecular interactions - general

Which energy formulas are useful ?

- For r_{ij} =0, E_{ij} should be ∞
 - ... not fulfilled by exp(-a r_{ii})
- For $r_{ij}=\infty$, E_{ij} should be 0
 - ... not fulfilled by a polynomial in r_{ii}
- Normally, E_{ii} should have none or one minimum
 - No minmum \rightarrow repulsion
 - One minimum \rightarrow attraction
- Sometimes but rarely, E_{ij} has one maximum (and therefore how many minima ?)

Modeling the molecular interactions - general

(discuss Electron-electron interaction Long- and short range, Energy vs. force ...

The potential energy in the pair approximation:

In the pair approximation one assumes that the total energy is a sum of pairwise interactions. For example, if Eij is set to be a sum of ak/rk terms:

• The total energy is then: (*ji* ... pairs of molecules *lm* ... interaction centers in pair *ij k* ... term in sum over 1/r powers) $V_{tot} = \sum_{ij} \sum_{lm} \sum_{k} \frac{a_k}{r_{lm}^k}$ Functional forms:

Sutmann chapter 2

• The pair approximation needs distances as input: Angular \rightarrow Radial $\mathbf{V} = \sum_{ij} \mathbf{f}_{kl}(C_{ij})$ $\mathbf{V} = \sum_{\mathbf{ij}} \sum_{kl} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}_{kl})$

 $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_k}{r_{ij}^k}$

Modeling the molecular interactions

Deficiencies of the pair approximation:

The pair approximation is a truncation of the energy expression:

E=f(i)+f(ij)+f(ijk)+f(ijkl)...

the pair term is always the most important ...

... but unfortunately the series converges slowly

- Polarisability normally what causes the largest deviation from the pair approximation
- Charge transfer
- Directional effects (bonds)

Polarisability concepts, examples for going beyond the pair approximation, ...

Discussion: polarisation

Potential Energy Functions II: step-by-step

(1) What it is about ...













Atomistic Computer Simulation Methods





An analytical potential function is an expression for the total energy of a system as function of the atomic coordinates.

Why does one need them ? (in the context of molecular simulations)

Properties via Simulations ?

- A system consists of n particles. (Atoms, molecules, ions ...). Their behavior results from their electronic structure which governs the interaction between them (their attraction and repulsion).
- A potential energy function E which is a scalar function of the coordinates of the particles is containing all this in is containing all information about these interactions.
- How can one calculate macroscopic properties from E ?

(Macroscopic properties could be, for example:

- the pressure p=f(V),

- average geometries at a given temperature or
- (vibrational, electronic) spectra ?
- This is normally not possible in a simple way.
- One needs MD simulations because of the lack of analytical formulas. There are no formulas like 'p=nRT / V' for properties of real systems.

- Therefore, there are two problems
- The first one is the potential energy function E_{pot}=f(X).
- Experience (of the last 30 years) has shown that quantum chemistry is a powerful tool to tackle the problem of the potential energy function.
- The second problem is the calculation of properties if E_{pot}=f(X) is known. Computer simulations (especially MD) can do this numerically.

(2) The general scheme of fitting quantum chemically derived data to analytical expressions: How to get a potential function

i.E. Lennard-Jones

$$E = E_{LJ} + E_{qq}$$

$$E_{LJ}(r) = \left\{ 4\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{6} \right] \right\}$$

$$E_{qq}(r) = \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}}$$

r is the distance between 2 atoms

$$E(r_{ij}) = \left\{ 4\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} \right] \right\} + \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}}$$

For a water molecule interacting with i.E. an atomic ion I⁺ there would be 3 such terms:



We can write the formula slightly different so that it becomes a polynomial in 1/r:



$$E(r_{ij}) = \frac{A}{r_{ij}^{12}} + \frac{B}{r_{ij}^{6}} + \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}}$$

We need different parameters A,B for the interaction between I+-O and I+-H but the two I+-H interactions have the same parameters A,B. The total interaction energy is:

$$E_{I^{+}-W} = \frac{A_{I^{+}-O}}{r_{I^{+}-O}} + \frac{B_{I^{+}-O}}{r_{I^{+}-O}} + \frac{q_{I^{+}}q_{O}}{r_{I^{+}-O}} + \frac{A_{I^{+}-H}}{r_{I^{+}-H^{1}}} + \frac{B_{I^{+}-H}}{r_{I^{+}-H^{1}}} + \frac{q_{I^{+}}q_{H}}{r_{I^{+}-H^{1}}} + \frac{A_{I^{+}-H}}{r_{I^{+}-H^{1}}} + \frac{B_{I^{+}-H}}{r_{I^{+}-H^{2}}} + \frac{q_{I^{+}}q_{H}}{r_{I^{+}-H^{2}}} + \frac{q_{I^{+}}q_{H}}{r_{I^{+$$

We have now the 7 parameters



 $A_{I^+-O}, B_{I^+-O}, A_{I^+-H}, B_{I^+-H}, q_{I^+}, q_O, q_H$

- In general, a set of atoms in a certain environment (like H in H₂O which, for example, is different from H of CH₄) is called a 'class'.
 In this example, atoms and classes are the same.
- The q parameters are *class-specific* parameters for which good values often can be calculated via so-called 'population analysis', (atomic partial charge analysis), a standard quantum chemical method.
- The A and B parameters are *class-pair specific* parameters that can be calculated via fitting to quantum chemical energies.



To consider:

- Many orientations and distances
- Scanning a 3-dimensional (in the example) or 6-dimensional (in the general case) space.
- Computationally demanding
- The energy is linear in the A, B parameters in a polynomial expression. Expressions like 'exp(-C r)' are also commonly used, where this is not the case.

(3) The (non)additivity of interactions

• A formula like
$$E_{I^+ - H_2 O} = \sum_{O, H, H} \frac{A}{r^{12}} + \frac{B}{r^6} + \frac{q_i q_j}{r}$$

describes the interaction between the ion and water. If we have many water molecules, can we simply say:

$$E_{total} = \sum_{(H_2O_i)} \sum_{O_i, H_i, H_i} \frac{A}{r^{12}} + \frac{B}{r^6} + \frac{q_i q_j}{r} + E_{H_2O}$$

• If we do so, we use the so-called pair approximation.

(4)An example (where the pair approximation is used):

Interaction between Be²⁺/I⁻ and DMSO:

After the construction of the potential energy function, it must be checked.

• Example for I⁻:




(5) Another example (beyond the pair approximation)

The pair approximation is often not good enough, especially for ion-ligand interactions:



AICl₃ in water with the following potential energy function:

Interatomic potentials for the intermolecular interactions (in Å and kJ mol⁻¹).^a

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{OO}}(r) = & 604.620/r + 111.91 \times 10^3/r^{8.8591} - 1.045(\exp(-4(r-3.4)^2) + \exp(-1.5(r-4.5)^2)) \\ V_{\text{OH}}(r) = & -302.31/r + 26.0725/r^{9.19912} - 41.8229/(1 + \exp(40(r-1.05))) - 16.7292/(1 + \exp(5.49305(r-2.2))) \\ V_{\text{HH}}(r) = & 151.155/r + 418.395/(1 + \exp(29.9(r-1.968))) \\ V_{\text{AIO}}(r) = & -2750.51/r - 2495.69/r^2 + 266001 \exp(-3.89948r) \\ V_{\text{AIO}}(r) = & -2750.51/r - 2495.69/r^2 + 287.458 \exp(-0.35461r) \\ V_{\text{CIO}}(r) = & 916.563/r - 111.380/r^2 + 379670 \exp(-3.20906r) \\ V_{\text{CIH}}(r) = & -458.281/r + 1.88974 \times 10^{26} \exp(-33.977r) \\ V_{\text{AIAI}}(r) = & 12505.0/r - 2360.20/r^2 + 5228.69 \exp(-1.038r) \\ V_{\text{AIOI}}(r) = & -4168.14/r - 1115.36/r^2 + 373934 \exp(-3.68r) \\ V_{\text{CIOI}}(r) = & 1389.29/r + 28674.4/r^6 + 917099 \exp(-3.39r) \\ V_{\text{OAIO}}(r_1, r_2, \alpha) = & 74.8588(0.0641288 + (\pi - \alpha)^2)^2 \exp(-0.246481(r_1^2 + r_2^2)) \end{split}$$

^a In the last line, α is the O–Al–O angle.





Ways to deal with nonadditive interactions: The point-dipole model (PDM):

Atomic polarizabilities α_i are assigned to some molecular site and the electric field induces the formation of a point dipole μ_i E=0 E=E(r)

The fluctuating charge model (FQ):

Charges are allowed to fluctuate according to the electronic properties of the molecule as atomic electronegativity and atomic hardness. E=0



 $dq_1 + dq_2 + dq_3 = 0$

Comparison of the behaviour of these 'polarisation models':



Conclusion:

Induced dipole moments (=polarization) can be large. The effect on the electrostatic energy can be large

(keep in mind, however, that Li⁺ ion near carbon tetrachloride is an extreme example.)



Modeling the molecular interactions: The reality for everyday systems

Normally one divides between:

- Inter- and
- intramolecular interactions

Modeling the molecular interactions

Inter- and intramolecular interactions

Why distinguish between them ?

- In the ideal case, no difference
- In reality:
 - Equal treatment leads is difficult/expensive
 - Examples
 - UFF
 - Central force model of water
 - Reactive potentials
- Why is it advantageous to differenciate ?
 - Bond breaking is difficult to describe
 - Angular (3body) and 4-body terms are natural and needed in a network of bonded atoms (A-B-C-D)
 - but difficult and less necessary between non-bonded atoms (H2O-M++-OH2)

1) Typical intermolecular energy functions (=force field)



Every atom will be affected by the potential energy functions of every atom in the system. Either from

- Bonded Neighbors
- Non-Bonded Atoms (=other atoms in the same molecule or atoms from different molecules)

$$V(R) = E_{bonded} + E_{non-bonded}$$

2) Non-Bonded Atoms

In the simplest case, there are three types of potential energy which we need to consider for the interaction between non-bonded atoms:

- Repulsion of electron shells
- van der Waals Potential

$$E_{non-bonded} = E_{repulsion} + E_{van-der-Waals} + E_{electrostatic}$$

The Lennard-Jones form is a compromise between accuracy and fast computability.

Repulsive ($\sim r^{-12}$) and van der Waals ($\sim r^{-6}$) potential terms:



Scaling, parameters, alternative versions, accuracy, deficits, improvements, physical foundations

Electrostatic Potential



Bonded Atoms



$$E_{bonded} = E_{bond-stretch} + E_{angle-bend} + E_{rotate-along-bond}$$

$$E_{bond-stretch} = \sum_{1,2 \text{ pairs}} K_b (b - b_0)^2$$

$$E_{bond-bend} = \sum_{angles} K_{\theta} (\theta - \theta_0)^2$$

$$E_{rotate-bond} = \sum_{1,4 \text{ pairs}} K_{\phi}(1 - \cos(n\phi))$$



Side issue: the electrostatic energy in a crystal: Ewald

$$U = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} q_i q_j \frac{\operatorname{erfc}(\alpha |\mathbf{r}_{ij} + \mathbf{n}|)}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij} + \mathbf{n}|}$$

Real-space term

$$+\underbrace{\frac{1}{\epsilon_0 V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}>0}\frac{1}{k^2}e^{-\frac{k^2}{4\alpha^2}}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i)\right|^2+\left|\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i)\right|^2\right\}$$

Reciprocal-space term





Charged system term

Surface dipole term

- κ,λ indices of sites within a single molecule
- N total number of charged sites M total number of molecules N_m number of sites on molecule m

 \mathbf{p}_i co-ord of site i relative to molecular centre-of-mass,

 $\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_i$

 q_i charge on absolute site i

 $q_{m\kappa}$ charge on site κ of molecule m

 \mathbf{r}_i Cartesian co-ordinate of site i

\mathbf{r}_{ij}

 $\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$

 α real/reciprocal space partition parameter π_{lm} instantaneous stress tensor

 δ_{lm} Kronecker delta symbol

- l,m xyz tensor indices
- V volume of MD cell

Ewald force



Reciprocal-space term

 $+\underbrace{\left[\frac{q_i}{6\epsilon_0 V}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N q_j \mathbf{r}_j\right)\right]}_{}$

Surface dipole term

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ewald_summation

Sketching the Ewald potential

(discuss TV shape, infinity considerations, convergence,

Sketching the Ewald potential

(discuss TV shape, infinity considerations, convergence,

```
[x y]=meshgrid(0:0.1:pi);
zl=sin(x).^2.*cos(y).^2;
subplot 121
[a,b]=contour(z1);
set(b,'linewidth',3); axis
square
title('Ewald');
z2=(x-pi/2).^2+(y-pi/2).^2;
subplot 122
[c,d]=contour(z2);
set(d,'linewidth',3); axis
square
title('Coulomb');
```



Reaction field:

simpler, not periodic, equally good as Ewald Also useful in quantum chemistry



$$\overrightarrow{R} = \frac{2(\varepsilon - 1) \cdot \overrightarrow{\mu}}{(2\varepsilon + 1) \cdot a_0^3}$$

 \vec{R} = reaction field $a_0 = cavity radius$ $\vec{\mu}$ = molecular dipole moment

Bond breaking

In many instances, potentials where bonds can break are needed.

For example (the so-called Tersoff-Abel potential):

$$E = \sum_{i>j} f_{ij}(r_{ij}) \left[\phi_R^{ij}(r_{ij}) - \frac{b_{ij} + b_{ji}}{2} \phi_A^{ij}(r_{ij}) \right].$$

$$b_{ij} = (1 + \sum_{k(\neq i,j)} f_{ik}(r_{ik})g_{ik}(\theta_{ijk}) \exp[2\mu_{ik}(r_{ij} - r_{ik})])^{-1/2}$$